

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 THE ESTATE OF ANGEL LOPEZ,
12 LYDIA LOPEZ, ANGEL LOPEZ, JR.,
13 *by and through their guardian ad litem*
14 *Lydia Lopez, and HECTOR LOPEZ, by*
15 *and through their guardian ad litem*
16 *Lydia Lopez,*

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 CITY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM
20 LANSDOWNE, MARK HANTEN, and
21 DOES 1-30,

22 Defendant.

Civil 13-CV-2240-GPC (BGS)
No.

23 **ORDER DENYING JOINT**
24 **MOTION TO EXTEND**
25 **DISCOVERY CUT-OFF**
26 **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

27 [ECF No. 25.]
28

On May 9, 2014, the parties filed a joint motion to extend the current June 9, 2014 discovery deadline in this matter. [ECF No. 25.] The parties seek a continuance on the ground that they may need additional time for followup discovery after receiving interrogatory responses, which are still outstanding. (*Id.* at 1:28-2:2.) However, the parties have not provided the Court with any indication of the due date of Plaintiff's outstanding interrogatory responses. The parties also fail to inform the Court of the subject matter of the outstanding interrogatory responses, what follow-up is anticipated once responses are received and why records subpoenas cannot be sent now instead of waiting until responses are received.

